Management of children with clinically suspected and confirmed SARS-CoV2 during the first wave in the West Midlands, United Kingdom

Paediatric Research Across the Midlands (PRAM) Network

Collaborators: P. Siddhi1, K. Shelley2, H. Rizvi3, A.K. John4, A. Holt5, H. McDermott3, H. Vawda3, N. Muhammed3, T.Y. Kwan3, J. Rweyemamu3, K. Davies5, A. Banerjee5, E.Seager6, S. Nasir6, L. Mulligan2, M. Cant2, W.Carroll7, R. Horner7, A. Elzubair7, J.Onubi7, B.Oyelade7, P. Bakhshi8, S. Parepalli8, Y. Oumellil8, A. Abdelbaky8, S. Meenan8, J. Puah8, W. Coles9, M.M.Magwenzi9, S. Hine9, E. Blamey9, R.Pitt1, S.Amanda1, Z.Baccha1, A. Pearce4, W. Sinwari4, S. Harlalka10, N. Zia10, A. Pachala10, N. Smith10, I. Craner10, N. Makwana2, S. Welch3

- 1. Walsall Manor Hospital
- 2. Sandwell and West Birmingham NHS trust
- 3. University Hospitals Birmingham, Heartlands Hospital
- 4. Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust 5. The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust
- 6. Dudley Group NHS Trust

- 7. University Hospitals Of North Midlands NHS trust
- 8. Shrewsbury and Telford NHS Trust
- 9. Universal Hospital Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust

Demographics

Background

During the first wave of SARS-CoV2 in England, there was initially limited availability of confirmatory PCR for diagnosis, meaning clinical algorithms were used.¹

Aims

We describe the management of children with suspected and confirmed COVID-19 in non-tertiary hospitals in the West Midland region during the first wave of the UK epidemic.

Methodology

Sample Period: 1st March'20 - 31st July'20

Eligibility Criteria:

- Children (<16-years old)
- Admitted to hospital paediatric wards.
- Suspected SARS-CoV2 or
- Positive swab

Suspected cases: fever ± respiratory or gastrointestinal symptoms, unexplained skin rash, or strong clinical suspicion of SARS-CoV2

Relevant Sample Size: All eligible patients across 9 hospital sites

Data Collection: Retrospective & prospective review of electronic and hard copy patient management systems & notes

Validation: Use of standardised pro-forma on Microsoft Excel and REDCap.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients who had alternative confirmed diagnosis on admission.

References 1. British Paediatric Allergy Immunity and Infection Group: bpaiig-position-statement-sars-cov-2-treatment-guidance-version-1.2

621 children were included (Fig1). 26% had pre-existing co-morbidities

Investigations Performed

Chest radiograph	402(65%)
Blood cultures	276(44%)
Full blood count	373(60%)
Viral resp screen	186(30%)
Urine culture	138(22%)



Figure 1- TREND IN SUSPECTED AND SARS COV2 CONFIRMED **PAEDIATRIC CASES OVER 6 MONTHS**



GHAM SUP Clinical Research Network West Midlands No conflict of interest declared **Management and Outcomes Admission and Management** 64% had antibiotics SARS-CoV2 swabs taken in 566 (91%); 46 (7.4%) were positive. 8.5% HDU (44% cephalosporins 2% had antivirals Median duration of admission was 2 days. 1% ITU General Wards 201(50%) normal Non-invasive respiratory support 268(97%) negative Invasive respiratory 2% support (ventilation) Discharge Suspected/ confirmed SARS-CoV2. 18% PIMS-TS 96% discharged home 4% discharged to ITU <1% died Other discharge diagnosis Conclusions Clinicians had a high level of suspicion of SARS-CoV2 infection at the beginning of the first wave. Majority of the initially clinically-suspected children were negative. The number of both PCR-confirmed and clinical diagnoses decreased over time. JUNE AUGUST JUL POSITIVE SARS COV2 SWAB (N=46) • High rates of investigations e.g. radiographs,

10. University of Birmingham Medical School

and antibiotic use were observed, despite few confirmed bacterial infections.